(Revised 7/24/2002)

**Board Meeting** October 18-19, 2005 Agenda Item 10 Attachment 3

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this request sheet and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA statt. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OI A representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 | Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

#### General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction I All respondents must complete	this section.						
i certify under penalty of pe and that I am authorized to	rjury that the make this co	information in this description on behalf	ocument is tru of:	e and correct to	the best of my knowledge,		
Jurisdiction Name			County				
City of San Marino			Los Angeles County				
Authorized Signature			Title				
Column s	d_l		City Manager		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Type/Print Name of Person Signif	Type/Print Name of Person Signing Date			Phone			
Robert Wishner		1/31/0	31/05 (628) 300-0700		0000		
Person Completing This Form (pl	Person Completing This Form (please print or type)			Tille			
Mysen Surleigh, Aurora Environmental, Inc.			President				
Phone		E-mail Address		Fax			
(801)374-2166 autor		aurorgone@utah-inter.net	auroraono@utah-inter.aet		(à01)474+2167		
Mailing Address	City	<u> </u>	State		ZIF Code		
1180 C. Harrison Avenue	Salt Lake	Çity	UT 94105		84105		

m 10 Aπacnment 3

Board M Section II—Cover Sheet
October 18-19, 2005
This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.

No. If no. stop: not eligible for a TE or ADR.   Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.   Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.   Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.   Yes. Specific Request and Length of Request   Please specify the request desired.   Time Extension Request   Specific years requested _ 2004 and 2005	, E	Eligibility  Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste  Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste  Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?
Please specify the request desired.  ☑ Time Extension Request  Specific years requested 2004 and 2005  Is this a second request? □ No ☑ Yes Specific years requested. 2004 and 2005  [Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)  □ Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  Specific ADR requested		☐ No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.
Please specify the request desired.  ☑ Time Extension Request  Specific years requested 2004 and 2005		Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.
Specific years requested 2004 and 2005	2.	Specific Request and Length of Request
Is this a second request?   No   Yes Specific years requested. 2004 and 2005		Please specify the request desired.
Is this a second request? No Yes Specific years requested. 2004 and 2005  (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)  Atternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  Specific ADR requested		∑ Time Extension Request
Is this a second request? No Yes Specific years requested. 2004 and 2005  (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)  Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  Specific ADR requested		Specific years requested _ 2004 and 2005
(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)  Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  Specific ADR requested		— Salar Sala
Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific ADR requested%, for the years% for the years		2005
Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific ADR requested%, for the years% for the years		Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).
Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific ADR requested		
(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)  Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend		·
January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2008. An original request for a fundamental request or be based on new three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend		years
		January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2008. An original request for a PLAST request or be based on new three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend

## Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion, Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

At the time that the first Time Extension Request was submitted, City staff anticipated that the Non-Exclusive Refuse Removal Franchise Agreement with one of the haulers serving the City would be terminated soon thereafter. On September 11, 2002, the San Marino City Council voted to allow that hauler to complete the term of the existing Agreement, which was to expire on June 30, 2003, or as soon as proposals could be received and new Agreements became effective.

Staff distributed the Request for Proposals (RFP) on April 28, 2003, rather than in March 2003, which was the distribution date originally planned. When the RFP was distributed, July 1, 2003 was the effective date planned for the new Agreements. The delay in distributing the RFP; a lengthy evaluation process related to the receipt of eight proposals; development of a more comprehensive contract with improved reporting requirements and enforcement provisions; and, contract negotiations related to rates and acceptance of the comprehensive contract resulted in the final selection of two hauling contractors by the City Council on September 10, 2003, approximately one year after the date originally anticipated in the first Time Extension Request. The two new approximately one year after the date originally anticipated in the first Time Extension Request. The two new Non-Exclusive Agreements for Solid Waste and Recyclables Collection became effective on October 1, 2003. These new agreements and related programs have already resulted in increasing the amount of waste diverted through the curbside recycling program and through the MRF processing program.

The original intent was to include roll-off bin service in the two non-exclusive agreements. This provision would have become effective following a five-year notice period to existing, permitted roll-off service providers. When the City Council approved the new agreements on September 10, 2003, there was no support for including roll-off service in the non-exclusive agreements because the Council wanted to maintain competitive roll-off services. City staff then began implementing a contingency plan to develop a construction and demolition debris ordinance requiring the diversion of 50% of materials generated/collected, rather than only providing building permit applicants with recycling information and a 50% diversion goal. The ordinance is anticipated to be adopted in early 2005, and will become effective shortly thereafter. As a result, the impact of this construction and demolition debris ordinance on the City's diversion rate will not be realized until the end of 2005.

2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.

The City is requesting an extension for compliance years 2004 and 2005 because, as discussed above, the impact of the construction and demolition debris ordinance on the City's diversion rate will not be realized until the end of 2005.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

October 18-19, 2005	Attachr	ment
The City's calculated diversion rate for 2003 is 30%. The City has had a backyard recycling collection program prior to 1990 and implemented a yard waste collection program in 1995. The City also recycles yard waste and prior to 1990 and implemented a yard waste collection program in 1995. The City also recycles yard waste and prior to 1990 and implemented a yard waste collection program is new diversional are diverted/salvaged at local inerts from its maintenance operations. Additional amounts of inert materials are diverted/salvaged at local landfills. Beginning October 2003, a portion of the waste stream is now being processed at a MRF and some of landfills. Beginning October 2003, a portion of the waste stream is now being processed at a MRF and some of landfills. Beginning October 2003, a portion of the waste stream is now being processed at a MRF and some of landfills. Beginning October 2003, a portion of the waste stream is now being processed at a MRF and some of landfills. Beginning October 2003, a portion of the waste stream is now being processed at a MRF and some of landfills. Beginning October 2003, a portion of the waste stream is now being processed at a MRF and some of landfills. Beginning October 2003, a portion of the waste stream is now being processed at a MRF and some of landfills.	f the	
4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.		
No additional information to be provided.		

# Section IIIB-ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith

were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional months of the effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be effort towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to e
1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.
2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?
3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.
3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Fatti Enors to impossible in the control of
4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

## Section IV A-PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Residentia	ai %		64%	Non-resid	dentiai % ————		36%
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND		DESCRIPTION	DF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/ _GCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm			17 + blood 10 PAC	truction and demolotion uire the diversion of 50% of	Rate Structure	December 2005	20%
4 <u>060-SP-CAR</u>	New	materia	als from co <u>vered proje</u>	cts and by covered haulers	Structure	2003	
		-					
			Total Estimated Dive	ersion Percent From New a	nd/or Expand	ed Programs	20%
		-	Current Dive	rsion Rate Percent From La	test Annual I	Report	30%
<u> </u>			Total	Planned Diversion Percen	t Estimated		50%
	PRO	OGRA	MS SUPPORT	ING DIVERSION A	CTIVITIE	s	
PROGRAM TYP	E	NEW C		DESCRIPTION OF PRO	GRAM		DATE FULLY COMPLETED
					_		
							<u> </u>
		10					<u></u>

### Section V - PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.